# A new branch of the temperature distribution of boundary-layer flows over an impermeable stretching plate 

Yue Tan $\cdot$ Xiang-Cheng You $\cdot$ Hang Xu $\cdot$ Shi-Jun Liao

Received: 8 September 2006/Accepted: 23 April 2007/Published online: 23 May 2007
© Springer-Verlag 2007


#### Abstract

In 2005 it was reported that the boundary-layer flows over an impermeable stretching plate have a new branch of solutions. In this short communication, the corresponding heat transfer problem is considered, and a new branch of temperature distribution is obtained. It is found that the new branch of temperature distributions is mostly rather close to the known branch of solutions, except in case of small Prandtl number. Thus, it is practically rather hard to distinguish the two branches of temperature distributions.


The boundary-layer flow of the incompressible Newtonian viscous fluid over a stretching sheet is an important type of flows occurring in a number of engineering processes, such as the aerodynamic extrusion of plastic sheets, the boundary layer along liquid film condensation process, the cooling process of metallic plate in a cooling bath, and glass and polymer industries. Since the pioneering work of Sakiadis [1, 2], various aspects of the problem were investigated by many authors. Tsou [3] considered the problem with constant surface velocity and temperature. Crane [4], Vleggaar [5], and Gupta and Gupta [6] analyzed the stretching problem with constant surface temperature, while Soundalgekar and Ramana Murty [7] investigated the constant surface velocity case with power law temperature variation. Grubka and Bobba [8], and Chen and Char [9] extend this problem to the case the heat transfer occurring on a linear impermeable stretched surface with a power law temperature.

The laminar thermal boundary-layer viscous flow over a stretching impermeable plate can be described by the continuity, momentum and energy equations [8, 9]:
$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=0$,
$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=v \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}$,
$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}=\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{2}}$,
subject to the boundary conditions:
$u=a(x+b)^{\lambda} \quad v=0 \quad T=T_{\infty}+C(x+b)^{k} \quad$ at $\quad y=0$
$u \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow T_{\infty}, \quad$ as $\quad y \rightarrow+\infty$,
where the $x$-axis runs along the surface in the direction of motion, the $y$-axis is perpendicular to it, $u$ and $v$ are the velocity components of the fluid in the $x$ and $y$ directions, $T$ denotes the temperature distribution, $T_{\infty}$ the temperature at infinity, $\alpha$ the thermal diffusivity, $v$ the kinematic viscosity coefficient, $a, b, \lambda, C$ and $k$ are constant coefficients, respectively. Let $\psi$ denote the stream function. Using the similarity transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi=a \sqrt{\frac{v}{a(1+\lambda)}}(x+b)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} F(\xi) \\
& \xi=\sqrt{\frac{a(1+\lambda)}{v}}(x+b)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} y
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1 Dual solutions when $\operatorname{Pr}=1, \beta=0.6$ and $\kappa=-3$. Solid line first branch of solutions, dashed line second branch of solutions


Fig. 2 Dual solutions when $\operatorname{Pr}=1, \beta=2$ and $\kappa=-1$. Solid line first branch of solutions, dashed line second branch of solutions
$\theta=\frac{T-T_{\infty}}{T_{w}-T_{\infty}}$,
where $a \neq 0$ and $a(1+\lambda)>0$, Eqs. (1a)-(1c) become
$F^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)+\frac{1}{2} F(\xi) F^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-\beta F^{\prime 2}(\xi)=0$,
$\theta^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Pr} F(\xi) \theta^{\prime}(\xi)-\kappa \operatorname{Pr}(1-\beta) F^{\prime}(\xi) \theta(\xi)=0$,
subject to the boundary conditions:


Fig. 3 Dual solutions when $\operatorname{Pr}=2, \beta=2$ and $\kappa=-1$. Solid line first branch of solutions, symbols second branch of solutions


Fig. 4 The comparison of $\theta^{\prime}(0)$ of the two branches of solutions for various $\operatorname{Pr}$ when $\kappa=0$. Line first branch of solutions, symbol second branch of solutions
$F(0)=0 \quad F^{\prime}(0)=1 \quad F^{\prime}(+\infty)=0$,
$\theta(0)=1 \quad \theta(+\infty)=0$,
where $\operatorname{Pr}=\nu / \alpha$ is the Prandtl number, and
$\beta=\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}$
Recently, by means of a new analytic method, namely the homotopy analysis method (HAM) [10-18], Liao [19]


Fig. 5 The comparison of $\theta^{\prime}(0)$ of the two branches of solutions for various $\operatorname{Pr}$ when $\kappa=-1$. Line first branch of solutions, symbol second branch of solutions
found a new branch of solutions of the boundary-layer flows over a stretching impermeable plate governed by Eqs. (2a) and (2c). The two branches of solutions are so close that the new one has never been reported even by means of numerical techniques. Obviously, for each solution $F(\xi)$ of Eqs. (2a) and (2c), there exists a corresponding temperature distribution $\theta(\xi)$ of the linear equation (2b) with the linear boundary conditions (2d).

It is very easy to solve Eqs. (2b) and (2d). Here, we use two different methods. First, by means of the HAM [1015], we obtain the series solution of $\theta(\xi)$ for each branch of solutions of $F(\xi)$. To shorten the length of this communication, all mathematical expressions are neglected here. Second, using the accurate HAM results as the initial guess, we apply the Keller-box numerical method to get convergent numerical solutions. All of our series solutions and numerical results agree very well.

It is found that the temperature distributions related to the new branch of fluid flows found by Liao [19] have been never reported. Besides, in many cases, it is rather hard to distinguish the two branches of temperature distributions. In summary, our main conclusions are:

1. Generally speaking, for small values of $P r$, the two branches of solutions have obvious differences, as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. However, for $\operatorname{Pr} \geq 2$, the difference becomes hard to distinguish, as shown in Fig. 3. This may be the reason why the second branch of temperature distribution has never been reported even by numerical methods.

Table $1 \theta^{\prime}(0)$ in case of $\kappa=0$ and $\beta=1$

| Pr | First branch | Second branch | Relative difference (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | -0.233694 | -0.203089 | 13.0962 |
| 1.0 | $-0.388657$ | -0.374936 | 3.5304 |
| 1.5 | -0.511590 | -0.503155 | 1.6488 |
| 2.0 | $-0.616400$ | -0.610110 | 1.0204 |
| 2.5 | -0.709239 | -0.704066 | 0.7294 |
| 3.0 | -0.793435 | -0.788934 | 0.5673 |
| 3.5 | -0.871018 | -0.866970 | 0.4647 |
| 4.0 | -0.943332 | -0.939609 | 0.3947 |
| 4.5 | -1.011321 | -1.007837 | 0.3445 |
| 5.0 | -1.075677 | -1.072379 | 0.3066 |
| 7.5 | -1.357945 | -1.355192 | 0.2027 |
| 10.0 | -1.596237 | -1.593749 | 0.1559 |
| 12.5 | -1.806336 | -1.804000 | 0.1293 |
| 15.0 | -1.996362 | -1.994133 | 0.1117 |
| 20.0 | -2.333884 | -2.331807 | 0.0890 |
| 25.0 | -2.631374 | -2.629371 | 0.0761 |
| 30.0 | -2.900353 | -2.898429 | 0.0663 |
| 35.0 | -3.147778 | -3.145879 | 0.0603 |
| 40.0 | -3.378064 | -3.376216 | 0.0547 |
| 45.0 | -3.594367 | -3.592567 | 0.0501 |
| 50.0 | -3.799030 | -3.792567 | 0.0480 |
| 60.0 | -4.179623 | -4.177841 | 0.0426 |
| 70.0 | -4.529652 | -4.527888 | 0.0389 |
| 80.0 | -4.855463 | -4.853720 | 0.0359 |
| 90.0 | -5.161480 | -5.159755 | 0.0334 |
| 100.0 | -5.450932 | -5.449216 | 0.0315 |
| 150.0 | -6.718631 | -6.717000 | 0.0243 |
| 200.0 | -7.787474 | -7.785838 | 0.0210 |
| 500.0 | -12.423930 | -12.422359 | 0.0126 |
| 1,000.0 | -17.649331 | -17.647788 | 0.0087 |
| 2,000.0 | -25.039290 | -25.037766 | 0.0061 |

2. The wall temperature gradient $\theta^{\prime}(0)$ has important physical meaning. The curves of wall temperature gradients $\theta^{\prime}(0)$ versus $\operatorname{Pr}$ for some values of $\beta$ at $\kappa=0$ and $\kappa=-1$ are as shown in Figs. 4 and 5. It should be emphasized that, for most values of $P r$, the differences of $\theta^{\prime}(0)$ of the two branches of solutions are so small that it is even hard to distinguish them, as clearly shown in Figs. 4 and 5. For example, the relative differences of $\theta^{\prime}(0)$ when $\beta=1$ and $\kappa=0$ are 3.53 , $0.307,0.156,0.0315$ and $0.0087 \%$ for $\operatorname{Pr}=1,5,10$, 100 and 1,000 , respectively, as shown in Table 1. When $\kappa=-1$ and $\beta=2$, the relative differences of $\theta^{\prime}(0)$ for $\operatorname{Pr}=1,5,10,100$ and 1,000 are 1.70, 0.064 , $0.032,0.0064$ and $0.0018 \%$ respectively, which are correspondingly even smaller than those in case of

Table $2 \theta^{\prime}(0)$ in case of $\kappa=-1$ and $\beta=2$

| Pr | First <br> branch | Second branch | Relative <br> difference (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | -0.532231 | -0.468889 | 13.5090 |
| 1.0 | -0.852605 | -0.838356 | 1.6996 |
| 2.0 | -1.313661 | -1.310231 | 0.2618 |
| 3.0 | -1.669588 | -1.667473 | 0.1268 |
| 4.0 | -1.970326 | -1.968669 | 0.0842 |
| 5.0 | -2.235603 | -2.234175 | 0.0639 |
| 6.0 | -2.475613 | -2.474323 | 0.0521 |
| 7.0 | -2.696440 | -2.695243 | 0.0444 |
| 8.0 | -2.902059 | -2.900928 | 0.0390 |
| 9.0 | -3.095237 | -3.094157 | 0.0349 |
| 10.0 | -3.277991 | -3.276951 | 0.0317 |
| 15.0 | -4.078727 | -4.077802 | 0.0227 |
| 20.0 | -4.754076 | -4.753209 | 0.0182 |
| 30.0 | -5.887341 | -5.886534 | 0.0137 |
| 40.0 | -6.842938 | -6.842164 | 0.0113 |
| 50.0 | -7.684938 | -7.684184 | 0.0098 |
| 60.0 | -8.446222 | -8.445482 | 0.0088 |
| 70.0 | -9.146332 | -9.145603 | 0.0080 |
| 80.0 | -9.798003 | -9.797282 | 0.0074 |
| 90.0 | -10.410084 | -10.409370 | 0.0069 |
| 100.0 | -10.989018 | -10.988309 | 0.0064 |
| 150.0 | -13.524608 | -13.523918 | 0.0051 |
| 200.0 | -15.662304 | -15.661625 | 0.0043 |
| 500.0 | -24.935394 | -24.934738 | 0.0026 |
| 1,000.0 | -35.386271 | -35.385631 | 0.0018 |

$\kappa=0$ and $\beta=1$. In some cases, the difference is so small that it is hard to distinguish the two branches of temperature distributions even by means of numerical methods (Table 2).

Therefore, although there exists two branches of different heat transfer profiles of boundary-layer flows over an impermeable stretching sheet, the two branches of temperature distributions are close to each other, except for some small values of $\operatorname{Pr}$ number. The wall-gradients of the new branch of temperature distributions are in most cases rather close to those of the known ones, and thus hard to be distinguished each other. Physically, such small differences of two branches of temperature distributions may not bring any observed difference for us in practice. However, it is not clear if such situation implies the instability of the related boundary layer flows.
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